



SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

PERFORMANCE-BASED ASSESSMENT

Masters and Education Specialist Degree Programs in School Psychology Department of Psychology University of Wisconsin – Whitewater



Table of Contents

	Page
Rationale	2
Assessment Process	2
Competencies and Performance of School Psychology Candidates	2
Table of Decision Points	3
Admissions	4
Course Work	4
Annual Faculty Review	4
Competencies and Performance of School Psychology Graduates	4
School Psychology Portfolio	5
ndices	
Appendix A: Content Assessment Grid	10
Appendix B: Student Activities Checklist	12
Appendix C: Student Retention Policies and Procedures	13
Appendix D: Academic/Professional Behavior Notification of Concern	17
Appendix E: First Year Mid-Year Review	19
Appendix F: Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation- M.S.E.	20
Appendix G: Yearly Review of Student Progress	23
Appendix H: Required Artifacts Assessment Rubrics	35
Appendix I: WISC Checkout Policy	42
Appendix J: Post-Internship Satisfaction Instrument	43
Appendix K: Administrator Evaluation Instrument	45
Appendix L: Criminal Background Check	47
	Assessment Process Competencies and Performance of School Psychology Candidates Table of Decision Points Admissions Course Work Annual Faculty Review Competencies and Performance of School Psychology Graduates School Psychology Portfolio Indices Appendix A: Content Assessment Grid Appendix B: Student Activities Checklist Appendix C: Student Retention Policies and Procedures Appendix D: Academic/Professional Behavior Notification of Concern Appendix E: First Year Mid-Year Review Appendix F: Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation- M.S.E. Appendix G: Yearly Review of Student Progress Appendix H: Required Artifacts Assessment Rubrics Appendix I: WISC Checkout Policy Appendix J: Post-Internship Satisfaction Instrument Appendix K: Administrator Evaluation Instrument

Sincere appreciation is given to the School Psychology Programs at Ball State University, University of Northern Iowa, and Miami University for significant contributions to the structure and language of this document.

I. Rationale

School Psychology Program faculty at the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater have established a comprehensive, multi-faceted assessment and evaluation process to ensure that students obtain the knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary to serve as professional school psychologists. Evaluation procedures occur throughout the program and are based on both formative and summative assessment. The evaluation process has been designed to assess candidate performance at the levels of individual classes and coursework as well as academic degree progression. Ultimately the process reflects the Philosophy and Goals of the program as outlined in the *Student Handbook*. This training program reflects the content and performance standards as articulated by the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, the National Association of School Psychologists' *Standards for Training and Field Placement Programs in School Psychology* (NASP, 2000), the National Council for Teacher Accreditation (NCATE), and the American Psychological Association (APA).

II. Assessment Process

The program is committed to systematic assessment of its success in articulating its mission. This assessment takes place at three levels:

- 1. Competencies and performance of school psychology candidates
- 2. Competencies and performance of school psychology graduates
- 3. Systematic program review

A. Competencies and Performance of School Psychology Candidates

Assessment of students begins before they are admitted to the program and continues even after completion of their degrees. An overview of the process and decision points is provided in Table 1. Successful completion of the program is based on students demonstrating mastery of content knowledge, professional knowledge and skills, dispositions, and personal effectiveness related to professional objectives.

Table 1

Decision Points for Performance-Based Assessment of School Psychology Graduate Students M.S.E and Ed.S. Programs in School Psychology University of Wisconsin-Whitewater

Admission	Year 1	Admission to Ed.S (for those admitted to MSE)	Year 2	Internship Approval	Praxis Exam (end of year 2)	Year 3	Post-Graduate Follow- Up
Admission to the				PP	(1 1 1)		- JP
Graduate School at UW-Whitewater requires a minimum undergraduate GPA of 2.75. School Psychology requires 3.00 for MSE and for	Maintain GPA of 3.0 for MSE and Ed.S. Yearly Evaluation by Core School	Completion of MSE – School Psychology or previous Masters Degree Endorsement of	Maintain GPA of 3.00 Yearly Evaluation by Core School Psychology	Exit Interview with Program Coordinator and recommendation for Internship	Successfully attain a passing score of no less than 165 on the Praxis II Specialty exam in School	Portfolio evaluation following Spring semester	Employment data First year post graduate evaluation of program Employer survey of graduate performance
Ed.S.	Psychology Faculty includes: Mid-Year	School Psychology Committee based	faculty includes: WISC Checkout, Portfolio,		Psychology	Evaluation by field-based internship	graduate performance
School Psychology Committee makes admission decisions	Progress Review, Portfolio Evaluation,	on performance as a graduate student and	academic and personal characteristics			supervisor	
based on undergraduate GPA, GRE or MAT, autobiographical statement, letters of recommendation, and	academic and personal characteristics (see Student Retention	suitability as a future school psychologist	(see Student Retention Policies and Procedures)			Evaluation by on-site administrator	
personal interview 12 undergraduate	Policies and Procedures)		Student performance in courses and				
credits in psychology required	Student performance in courses		Practicum evaluations				
			Specialist Project completion				

1. Admissions

Evaluation of students begins during the admissions process, at which time students are carefully selected based upon personal interview, writing sample, undergraduate course work, and the results of the GRE or MAT. Additionally, applicants must demonstrate, at a minimum, 12 credits of undergraduate or graduate course work in psychology which includes study in the three areas of (a) child/adolescent psychology or development, (b) abnormal psychology, and (c) statistics as prerequisite to all graduate course work.

2. Course Work:

All students must maintain a cumulative G.P.A. of at least 3.0 throughout their course of study in the program. Assessment in such course work takes a multitude of forms including examinations, case studies, simulations, and performance appraisal of skills in authentic settings. Course syllabi reflect the breadth of the methods used, and students are urged to familiarize themselves with the requirements for these courses. The location and method of content assessment is tabled in Appendix A. In addition to formal course work, students are required to participate in activities outside of the classroom that enhance their knowledge and skills. See Appendix B: *Student Activities Checklist*.

3. Annual Faculty Review:

The school psychology faculty meets annually to review all students, from the first year through the completion of the internship. However, evaluation is ongoing and questions or concerns may be initiated at any time during the year. Faculty may indicate concern by completing a Notification of Concern Form (See Appendix D). The review process and procedures for appeals can be found in Appendix C (*Student Retention Policies and Procedures*). Review includes evaluation of performance and suitability for the profession, along with assessment of professional development and ethical behavior. The development of appropriate dispositions and professional skills are given particular emphasis in evaluation of practicum and internship performance.

After the committee meets, students are provided with information about their progress to help them take advantage of strengths and/or to remediate weaknesses in academic and/or personal arenas. Forms for the review and feedback for students are provided in Appendices E, G and H.

B. Competencies and Performance of School Psychology Graduates

The program recognizes the need to maintain performance information and program evaluation feedback from its graduates after they have left the internship to professional life. Three sources of data are maintained:

- 1. **General Employment Data**, indicating the nature of the practice locales and assignments (e.g., rural to urban, elementary to high school) and the nature of the service delivery (e.g., percent consultation, assessment, direct intervention);
- **2. Graduate Evaluation of Program.** Post-internship evaluation of preparation (Appendix J);
- **3. Administrator Evaluation.** Following the first year post-internship, the onsite administrator completes a satisfaction survey (Appendix K).

III. The School Psychology Portfolio

All students in the UW-Whitewater School Psychology Program develop and maintain a cumulative Portfolio of their progress through the sequence of training. This Portfolio is essential to (1) the development of self-evaluation skills, (2) the documentation of acquired competencies, and (3) an understanding of the continuous and ongoing nature of professional competency development.

This Portfolio allows both the student and the faculty to monitor and chart progress throughout the entire program. In addition, it serves as the major source of documentation at the Pre-Internship Review held in the spring of the second year. Finally, students will find that the Portfolio may be a useful documentation summary when applying for internships, job-seeking, or applying to doctoral programs. Individuals who plan to become licensed in Wisconsin will find the Portfolio useful in the Professional Development Plan licensing process.

It is useful to think of the portfolio process in three stages: The Entrance Portfolio (pre-Masters level); the Practicum Portfolio (pre-internship Ed.S. level), and; the Internship Portfolio.

- The Entrance Portfolio This portfolio documents the student's growth from entrance through the attainment of the Masters degree. It will reflect the experiences, skills, and knowledge that he/she brought into the program as well as what was acquired over the first full academic year of study and fieldwork. It will be submitted for the Comprehensive Portfolio Review in May in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Masters degree.
- **The Practicum Portfolio** This portfolio will document growth during the practicum year and reflect newly acquired skills and insights as students begin to see themselves more as emerging school psychology practitioners. The portfolio will be reviewed prior to enrollment in the Internship.
- The Internship Portfolio This portfolio will document competency in the application of professional skills in a public school environment. This portfolio is discussed in depth in the UW-W *Internship Handbook*. Because all school psychologists receiving the Initial Educator License in Wisconsin will be required to assemble a Professional Development Plan, this portfolio is also linked to the seven Wisconsin Pupil Services Standards. The Internship Portfolio will also serve as the final Ed.S. Comprehensive Portfolio Review.

Students are expected to keep their Portfolios up-to-date and be prepared to share them with their advisor at any time. Faculty review of the Portfolio occurs at least once each year. Mid-year reviews are conducted by core School Psychology faculty for Year I students and by the university supervisor for Year II practicum students. The collective school psychology faculty conducts Pre-Practicum reviews in the spring; the core school psychology faculty conducts Pre-Internship and Internship reviews.

Review Dates:

Year I (Entrance): January: Formative Review with Interview

May: Full Portfolio Review with Comprehensive Examination

Year II (Practicum): August: WISC Checkout with Faculty

January: Formative Review with Interview

May: Pre-Internship Portfolio Review with Interview

Year III (Internship): May: Comprehensive Portfolio Examination

Organizing the Entrance and Practicum Portfolios

The Portfolio should be developed in a 1½ or 2-inch hardcover three ring binder. Clear vinyl inserts should be used to contain the Portfolio contents so that they may be easily removed for inspection. Tabbed dividers should be used for organization. There are two major sections to the Portfolio: (1) Official Documents and (2) Reflections and Selected Artifacts. The Internship Portfolio is described in detail in the *Internship Handbook*.

Portfolio Section I: Official Documents

The following are required documents in the order that they should be included. E= Entrance Portfolio; P= Practicum Portfolio

- A. Your "Belief Statement" in which you briefly describe in a half page what you believe about the delivery of school psychological services. This statement will change and grow with you. (E,P)
- B. Your Professional Resume containing your educational and employment experience to date. (E,P)
- C. Required Official Program Documents
 - (1) Approved Program of Study (E,P)
 - (2) Approval for Program Variance (e.g., waivers, transfer approvals) (E,P)
 - (3) Most recent graduate transcript from WINS, including any transfer credits (E,P)
 - (4) Official notification of degree attainment or copy of diploma (P)
 - (5) Completed Application for Initial Educator License (P)
 - (6) Official notification of score on ETS Praxis II School Psychology Examination (P)
 - (7) Student Activities Checklist (E,P)
 - (8) Paragraph abstract of Advisor-Approved Specialist Project Proposal (E)
- D. Evaluation Statements from Faculty Review (E,P)
- E. Field Supervisors' Evaluations (P)
- F. Practicum Planning Form (P)

Portfolio Section II: Reflections and Selected Artifacts

A. Reflective Summary of your academic and professional development, beliefs, progress, strengths, and challenges (*see Reflective Summary*, p. 8). This section of the Portfolio should have narrative reflections for each of the 10 Domains of Practice contained in the *Policies and Procedures Handbook*. You should reflect upon and evaluate your own growth and emerging belief structures in each area and reference and/or include required and optional representative artifacts or experiences. *Each reflection should directly address the competency area and demonstrate your current insight and beliefs relative to the area*. Please date each summary as it is prepared.

NOTE: You must complete a Reflection for each of the 10 domains of practice. This section of the portfolio should include evaluated, representative samples of your work and activities. Select the *best* of

your assessment and intervention reports, research papers, projects, or examinations along with the evaluation (i.e., rubric/instructor comments AND grade) which support and provide evidence of your developing competencies and beliefs. Place your Reflection in front of the evaluated Artifact and enclose in separate split-front plastic holders for easy removal. A single artifact may serve as documentation for more than one domain. If this occurs, include the hardcopy artifact *only once* and reference its location elsewhere. This reference may be included as a Table of Contents.

B. Required Artifacts – The following Artifacts, aligned to the appropriate level of portfolio, are required of all students:

ENTRANCE:

- 1. Two (2) scholarly research papers demonstrating a depth of knowledge in discrete areas of psychological foundations (e.g., learning, psychopathology, psychometrics, development, social bases) and linked to one or more Domains of Practice.
- 2. One (1) research proposal as required in Psych 715.
- 3. One (1) blinded, comprehensive school psychological report consisting of Background Information, Observations, Assessment of Achievement, Intellectual Functioning, and Social-Emotional Functioning, Hypotheses, Summary, and data-linked Recommendations
- 4. A written response to a supplied question of applied school psychological practice

PRACTICUM:

- 1. Three (3) comprehensive school psychological reports, only one of which may be a reevaluation. Reports must be blinded.
- 2. Two (2) consultation reports, including Problem Identification, Problem Analysis, and Intervention Implementation. Report must include functional assessment, progress monitoring, and outcome assessment. Report must be blinded and may be for school file or for portfolio only.
- 3. One (1) group therapy final report, including pre- and post- intervention assessment procedures and data. Report must be blinded and may be for school file or for portfolio only.
- 4. One (1) individual therapy final report, including progress monitoring assessment procedures and data. Report must be blinded and may be for school file or for portfolio only.
- **C. Additional Supporting Artifacts:** You are encouraged to include any other Artifacts or experiences that provide detailed documentation of your best efforts in domain competency during the period under consideration **including embedded assessments from your courses**. Remember: *Quality over quantity*.

Reflective Summary

The reflection aspect of the portfolio is your opportunity to think about what you have learned and experienced and then to develop (or begin to develop) a professional or philosophical belief statement relative to the area in question and the profession of school psychology in general. In this section, you are looking inward to reflect on the changes you are undergoing as a professional in training.

For each domain, reflect upon your beliefs regarding school psychology practice in that area. Write in a personal tone such that your belief statement reflects the depth and breadth of the domain. Your belief statement should be written in the following form, "As a future school psychologist, I believe...". Provide insight into your own strengths and weaknesses relative to the domain. Use representative artifacts or experiences to explain or support your belief statements. If you reference an experience as support, describe the experience in an objective way. The belief statement and the corresponding support and analysis will answer the question "So what?" Finally, address implications for future performance by identifying two to three specific, observable, and measurable action steps to address your identified weaknesses and to promote future growth consistent with your belief statement. These action steps will answer the question "Now what?" These action steps may include opportunities required as part of your academic progression but should also include individualized experiences outside the required coursework as well. Write the action steps in the following form, "I will.... so that...." The "Now what?" question involves a highly complex thinking process that requires reflective and analytical thinking about what you are doing and the effects of your professional performance on children, youth, families, and others. Writing reflective entries about personal thoughts, feelings, and insights may be a difficult task, especially early in your professional development.

Performance-Based Assessment in the UW-Whitewater School Psychology Program

Appendices

Appendix A:

CONTENT ASSESSMENT GRID

School Psychology Program

ASSESSMENT	CONTENT	ASSESSMENT		
CATEGORIES	GUIDELINES	Where	How	
Content Knowledge (CK) Service Deliv. Knowledge	DPI School Psychology,	1	Test (T)	
(SDK) Prof Dispositions	National Association of		Project (P)	
(PD) Human Relations (HR)	School Psychologists		Res Paper (RP)	
Communication Skills (C)	(NASP), & DPI Pupil		Case Study (CS) Quiz (Q)	
	Services	¥		
CK, SDK, PD, C	Data-Based Decision-Making	PSYCH-620,	620-RP, P	
	and Accountability (DPI-SP/NASP)	715,740 745, 762,	715-T,RP,P 740-T,P 745-T,CS,P 762-P,CS	
	(DI I-SI/NASI)	766,768,769,770,	766-CS,P 768-T,P	
		793, 795	769-P,CS 770-P,CS	
		.,,,,,	793-CS 795-CS,P	
CK, SDK, PD,	Consultation and	PSYCH-	769-P,CS	
C, HR	Collaboration	769,793, 795	793-CS	
	(DPI-SP/NASP)		795-CS	
CK, SDK, C, HR	Interventions and	PSYCH –	724-T,RP,P	
,	Instructional Support	724, 740, 745,	740-T,P	
	to Develop Academic	762, 769,	745-T,CS,P	
	Skills (DPI-SP/NASP)	READING,	762-CS,P	
		764	769-P,CS	
			READING-764-RP	
		Partary	600 O B	
CK, SDK, PD,	Interventions and Mental Health		680-Q,P	
C, HR	Services to Develop Social and Life Skills (DPI-SP/NASP)	680, 746, 766, 768, 770, 785	746-CS, RP 766-CS,P	
	Life Skills (DI I-SI/NASI)	700, 770, 703	768-T,P	
			770-P,CS	
			785-RP,CS	
CK,SDK	School-Wide Practices to	PSYCH-	620-RP, P	
,~	Promote Learning (DPI-	620, 680,768	680-Q,P	
	SP/NASP)	769, 795	768- T,P	
		SPECED-700	769-P,CS	
		EDFOUN-710	795-CS,P	
			EDFOUN-710 – RP	
			SPECED-700-RP,T	
	<u> </u>			

CK, SDK, PD, C, HR	Preventive and Responsive Services (DPI-SP/NASP)	PSYCH- 680, 746, 766, 768	680-Q,P 746-RP,P,CS 766-Q,P 768- T,P
CK, SDK, C	Family-School Collaboration Services (DPI-SP/NASP)	PSYCH- 745,768, 769, 770,793	745-P,CS 768- T,P 769-P,CS 770-CS,P 793-CS
CK, SDK, PD, CS,HR	Diversity in Development and Learning (DPI/NASP)	PSYCH-620, 724, 740, 745, 769, 770,787, 793, 795, EDFOUN-710	620-RP, P 724-T,CS,P 740-T,P 745-CS,T,P 769-P,CS 770-T,P 787-P, RP 793-P,CS 795-CS EDFOUN-710-RP
CK, SDK, PD, CS	Research and Program Evaluation (DPI-SP/NASP)	PSYCH- 620,715, 746	620-T,RP 715-P,T,RP 746-RP
CK, SDK, PD, C, HR	Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice (DPI-SP/NASP)	PSYCH- 620,740, 769, 793,795 SPECED700	620-RP,P 740-P 769-P,CS 793-CS 795-CS SPECED-700-T,RP

Bold= Courses with key embedded assessments for domain

Student Activities Checklist

Na	meDate
	Participation in the Straight Talk Mentoring Program*
	Substitute Teaching in a local school district
	Part-Time work with children, families, or schools Describe:
	Volunteer work in the community with children and/or families Describe:
	Observation and Participation in a setting with a diverse student population* Setting to to
	Attendance at the Fall Convention of the Wisconsin School Psychologists Association*
	Attendance at the Spring Convention of the Wisconsin School Psychologists Association*
	Attendance at the Annual Convention of the National Association of School Psychologists
	Attendance at professional conference(s) related to school psychology Name Date Date
	Attendance at university-sponsored diversity lecture/program(s)* Name
	Attendance at off-campus sponsored lecture/program related to school psychology Name
	Other activity (Describe):
	I attest to the accuracy of all of the above information
	Student Signature Date
	*Required of all students

Appendix C:

STUDENT RETENTION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

I. Purpose

This policy was developed to provide students and faculty with written guidance regarding the standards and procedures for continuation in the program and to delineate the procedures used by the program to (a) evaluate student performance, b) respond to problematic, inadequate, or impaired student performance, and c) ensure that due process is accorded all parties during the evaluation and review.

The formal evaluation policies and procedures outlined in this document have been designed to provide:

- students with information about their progress that will enable them to take advantage of strengths and/or to remediate weaknesses in academic, professional, and/or personal development.
- 2. faculty with information about the progress of students in order to facilitate decision making that is in the best interests of students and the profession they are preparing to enter.

II. Rationale

Continuance in the School Psychology Program is contingent upon the ability of the student to pursue graduate study. Successful completion of a program in school psychology is based on students demonstrating academic, professional, and personal effectiveness related to professional objectives. The faculty of the School Psychology Program have a professional responsibility to evaluate the academic, professional, and personal development of students in its training programs. There needs to be a mechanism to address concerns about the suitability of students entering the profession. The primary purpose of this assessment is to facilitate academic, professional, and personal growth as well as provide feedback in a timely fashion.

III. Due Process

Due process ensures that decisions made about graduate students by the School Psychology Program are not arbitrarily or personally biased. General due process guidelines include:

- 1. presenting students, in writing, with the program's expectations related to academic and ethical functioning;
- 2. stipulating the procedures for evaluations, including when and how evaluations will be conducted;
- 3. articulating the procedures and actions involved in making decisions regarding impairment;
- 4. instituting a remediation plan for identified inadequacies, including time frame for remediation and consequences of not rectifying the inadequacies;
- 5. providing a written procedure to the graduate student which describes how the student may appeal the program's action;
- 6. ensuring that graduate students have sufficient time to respond to any action taken by the program;
- 7. using input from multiple professional sources when making decisions or recommendations regarding the graduate student's performance, and;
- 8. documenting, in writing, the action taken by the program and its rationale.

IV. Review

All students are evaluated at least once a year through a formal review meeting of the program faculty. However, evaluation is an ongoing process and questions about a student can be initiated by any faculty member, practicum supervisor, or fellow student and addressed at any time during the year as well. When a concern is noted, the student will be notified in writing using the Academic/Professional Behavior Notification of Concern form (See Appendix D). Following notification, the student has five business days to respond by developing an action plan and a timeline for completion (See Appendix D).

A. Continuous Review

Student review is an ongoing and continuous process. Any faculty member may raise questions about a particular student's performance and progress during regularly scheduled School Psychology Committee meetings. Discussions concerning student performance and progress will be held in "closed session." At that time, any questions about students may be raised for the School Psychology Committee to consider.

B. Yearly Review

Once per academic year, the School Psychology Committee meets formally to review all students enrolled in the school psychology programs. At this meeting, the progress of all students is to be assessed. Students will prepare a portfolio to assist the committee in making their decisions. Guidelines for preparation of the portfolio are found the section entitled "School Psychology Portfolio." A tentative date for the portfolio presentations will be announced at the beginning of the first semester. The portfolio must be submitted by the date specified by the School Psychology Committee (usually at least 10 working days before the scheduled review).

All students will be provided with written individual evaluations stating strengths and weaknesses as discussed by the committee. Students who have not demonstrated satisfactory progress will be notified individually by the Program Coordinator and instructed to make an appointment with him/her in order to receive feedback and to identify appropriate remediation procedures.

V. Academic Standards

- A. An average of 3.00 overall grade point is required to maintain good standing as a student in the School Psychology Program.
- B. No more than one course with a grade of "C+" is allowed toward the completion of the entire Program through the completion of the Ed.S. degree. No grade below a C+ may be applied toward the completion of any degree in the Program. No grades of NC (No Credit) in the Internship are allowed.
- C. It is the policy of the School Psychology Program that no required Psychology course (PSYCH) may be repeated without a written appeal to the School Psychology Committee. This appeal must address the reasons for the initial poor performance and provide a detailed plan for overcoming those difficulties.

VI. Professional Standards

Students are expected to maintain the highest possible academic, moral, and behavioral standards consistent with individuals training to become mental health professionals. The faculty members are committed to modeling that behavior and assisting students who may struggle in some areas.

- A. The professional conduct of students in any applied setting, including school buildings, clinics, child care centers, or other educational or mental health facility is guided by the *Principles of Professional Ethics of the National Association of School Psychologists*. Ignorance of any part of these Principles is not considered an acceptable excuse for violation.
- B. As a professional in training, all students have an obligation to carry professional liability insurance. Proof of coverage must be provided each year.
- C. Behavior in the academic setting is guided in part by applicable *University of Wisconsin System Chapter 14* rules. The following behaviors are considered a violation of the policies of the School Psychology Program:
 - 1. being under the influence of illegal substances or alcohol while engaging in any university or field activities related to training as a school psychologist;
 - 2. acquiring any new conviction for a felony or misdemeanor or failing to report any previous conviction at the time of admission. All students within the school psychology program will undergo a criminal background check each year in the program (See Criminal Background Check Form in Appendix L). In addition, all applicants for Wisconsin school psychology positions and those of other states will undergo a criminal background check as part of the licensure process. Students who have a criminal record or who acquire a criminal record while in training should report this information to the Coordinator at the soonest possible date. This does not apply to non-criminal traffic violations, underage drinking ordinance violations, or previous violations of the state juvenile code. If in doubt, students should consult the Coordinator, the Department Chair, or their own attorney.
 - 3. physically or verbally assaulting or threatening any faculty member, other university employee, field supervisor, practicum site employee or pupil, or fellow student;
 - 4. engaging in sexual behavior with children.
 - 5. engaging in inappropriate sexual or sexist or racist behaviors that create a hostile learning environment for fellow students or faculty;
 - 6. falsifying any information on the program application;
 - 7. falsifying any information on a practice or authentic situation test protocol, report of field experience, or academic assignment, or in any way knowledgably tolerating that behavior in another:
 - 8. public declaration of held beliefs which indicate a clear and unambiguous intent to discriminate as a school psychologist or trainee against persons because of race, religion, ethnic origin, sexual orientation, gender, disability, marital status, or socioeconomic status, or any behavior in this regard;
 - 9. other applicable infractions as noted by UW-Whitewater or UW System rules.

VII. Program Response

Students who receive a notification of dismissal for academic or behavioral reasons have appeal rights as described in the *Graduate Bulletin*. The student's advisor will assist the student in the appeal as requested or needed. If a violation is identified, the following procedures will be implemented:

- A. The student will be notified of the specific problem areas noted by the faculty on the Academic/Professional Behavior Notification of Concern (Appendix D);
- B. Unless the problems are severe enough to warrant an immediate dismissal, a plan to address the problem will be developed by the School Psychology Committee in collaboration with the student. This plan will, as much as possible, behaviorally define the student's problems, identify the expected behavior patterns or goals, and designate a date for goal attainment or reevaluation. This plan and timeline must be submitted to the committee for approval within five business days. If the student chooses not to accept the remedial plan, he/she will be automatically dismissed from the program;
- C. At the time of reevaluation, four options exist for the School Psychology Committee:
 - 1. a decision that the specified concerns no longer present a significant impairment and the student is allowed to continue in the program;
 - 2. continued remediation, an updated remedial/behavioral plan, and a date set for another reevaluation;
 - 3. voluntary withdrawal from the program;
 - 4. formal dismissal from the program.

Students who receive a notification of dismissal following re-evaluation have appeal rights as described in the *Graduate Bulletin*. The student's advisor will assist the student in the appeal as requested or needed.

Appendix D

Academic/Professional Behavior Notification of Concern – Description of Concern School Psychology Program – University of Wisconsin-Whitewater

Professor/Sup	pervisor:		Semes	ter & Tear:		
	y and describe the areas in which ccessfully in subsequent classes an		•	00	•	nterfere with his/her ability
Speaking	Skills	☐ Ini	tiative			Content/knowledge
☐ Writing S	Skills	☐ Sel	f-confidence/po	oise		Professionalism/ethics
Attendan	ce/reliability	Juc	lgment/commo	n sense		Interpersonal skills
Receptive	eness/responsiveness to feedback	Re	sponse to super	visors		Rapport building skills
Commun	ication	Re	sponsibility			Teamwork skills
Attitude		Otl	ner (please iden	tify)		
Describe spec	rific examples of behavior(s) of co	ncern:				
Dagariha ann	manniate and expected behavious					
Describe appi	ropriate and expected behaviors:					
Recommenda	tions with regard to the School Ps	ychology	Program.			
Professor/Sup	ervisor Signature:				_ Da	nte:
Student Signa	ture:				_ D	ate:
School Psycho	ology Program Coordinator Signa	ture:			D	ate:
	dicate that the student has been no dicate agreement.	rtified and	d concerns have	e been discussed.	Stud	ent's signature does not
Original:	School Psychology Program Co	ordinato	r cc:	Student Professor/Super	rviso	r (named above)

Academic/Professional Behavior Notification of Concern – Student Action Plan School Psychology Program – University of Wisconsin-Whitewater

	School Psychology Program – Univ	ersity o	of Wisconsin-Whitewater
Student's desc	ription of Action Plan to address identified conc	ern(s).	
Timeline for co	ompletion of Action Plan:		
- 0 (0			_
	ervisor Signature:		
	de av Program Coordinator Signatura		
School Psycho	nogy Frogram Cooldmator Signature.		Date:
Signatures ind Professor/Supe	licate that Action Plan and Timeline have been d ervisor.	iscussed	and agreed upon by student and
Original:	School Psychology Program Coordinator	cc:	Student Professor/Supervisor (named above)
Review Date:	Review Team	:	1 Totossor/Super visor (numed above)

Outcome:

Appendix E:

Mid-Year Review UW-Whitewater First Year School Psychology Students

Mid-Year Review Rubric

	0 Minimal	1 Basic	2 Proficient	3 Advanced
Practical Considerations	understanding of practical considerations in school	Responses show some attention to practical considerations, but still exceptionally naïve or poorly thought out	attention to practical considerations; understanding of	Responses show uncommon sophistication with practical considerations beyond expected levels
Content	Responses do not address the question; student brings in irrelevant or tangential content; content is factually in error	serious gaps in	Responses address the questions without factual error; some gaps in knowledge evident on no more than one question; knowledge is at expected levels	Responses show superior knowledge of content beyond expected levels on all questions
Confidence	Student clearly lacks confidence with response; questions, shrugs, guesses; apologizes; evidently uncomfortable	Student shows some confidence with response, but is clearly uncomfortable and unsure of self	confidence with responses on most questions	Student shows singular comfort and self-assuredness in responses to all questions

- Faculty raters will agree on a combined score for both questions
- Students will earn one score on the continuum 0-3 and it will be noted on their Review form
- All students will receive feedback in oral and written form
- The written Mid-Year Review form and Self-Assessment will become a part of the student's record.

Oral Exam Score:

0	1	2	3
Minimal	Basic	Proficient	Advanced

Additional Comments:

Appendix F:

COMPREHENSIVE PORTFOLIO EVALUATION In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Masters Degree in School Psychology

I. PURPOSE

Candidates for the M.S.E.-School Psychology degree are expected to demonstrate the requisite knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary for advancement to the Education Specialist Degree sequence. The Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation is designed to provide a scholarly forum for the candidate to demonstrate these competencies to faculty members. The portfolio evaluation consists of three components. The first component is the Entrance Portfolio which contains all official documents, reflections, and selected artifacts (See School Psychology Portfolio, pp. 5-8). The second component is the Written Response to the Comprehensive Question; and, the third component is the Oral Response to Questions.

II. STRUCTURE

- A. Candidates will receive a written comprehensive question three weeks ahead of the due date for portfolio submission. The typed, double-spaced response will be inserted into the left inside cover pocket of the portfolio. The response will be rated by one School Psychology faculty member using the Written Response Rubric.
- **B.** The Entrance Portfolio is due in room LT 1240 two weeks prior to the Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation: Oral Response to Questions. Candidate portfolios will be assigned to individual faculty members for evaluation with the Hardcopy Portfolio Rubric.
- C. At their appointed times, candidates will respond to questions in two parts facilitated by a faculty panel. The Oral Response to Questions from the faculty panel will be approximately 40 minutes long. The candidate will then be excused. Members of the faculty panel will evaluate the candidate guided by the Oral Response to Questions Rubrics—Parts A and B.
- To pass this Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation, candidates must earn at least a "Meets Competency" rating on all three components (i.e., Hardcopy Portfolio, Written Response to the Comprehensive Question, and Oral Response to Questions). Faculty panel members will attempt to resolve any disagreements. When there is a disagreement between faculty panel members between "Meets Competency" or "Exceeds Competency" that cannot be resolved, candidates will receive the "Meets Competency" rating. Candidates who earn at least a "Meets Competency" rating from all raters will be informed at the earliest possible time that they have passed the Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation.
- E. Candidates who fail to earn at least a "Meets Competency" rating from all raters on the Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation will be informed that they have failed to pass.

Feedback will be provided by the Program Coordinator at a meeting separate from the Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation. Following that meeting, the candidate has five working days to submit a Consideration of Remediation Plan to the School Psychology Committee that explains in depth their own self-assessment of their performance and their plan to address the weaknesses.

F. Following the submission of the Consideration of Remediation, the School Psychology Committee will meet to review and discuss the plan as a committee. By majority vote, the School Psychology Committee may approve the plan and the candidate will enact it by a date determined by the Committee.

On the specified date, the School Psychology Committee will meet to review performance outcomes as outlined in the plan. By majority vote, the School Psychology Committee may:

- 1. Determine outcomes to be successful to fulfill the requirement for the M.S.E. Degree and lead to admission to the Ed.S. Degree sequence.
- 2. Determine outcomes to be sufficient to fulfill the requirement for the M.S.E. degree but not sufficient to progress to the Ed.S. Degree sequence.
- 3. Determine outcomes to be insufficient to fulfill the requirement for the M.S.E. degree. The student is dismissed from the program.

III. ORAL RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS FORMAT AND STRUCTURE

- **A.** Candidates will be assigned a room and time for their evaluation. Dress is professional. Punctuality is essential.
- B. The Oral Response to Questions will be comprised of two parts. Part A will require the candidate to respond to a hypothetical, applied scenario. The candidate will be asked to articulate his/her thinking in conceptualizing and responding to the identified concern. The candidate will have 15 to 20 minutes to describe his/her response and to answer questions posed by the faculty panel. The faculty panel will evaluate the content of the candidate's response as well as the candidate's ability to respond to questioning using the Oral Response to Questions Rubric: Part A.
- C. Part B will require the candidate to describe his/her response to Questions 1 and 2 of the Written Response to the Comprehensive Question component included in the portfolio. First, the candidate will be asked to role-play summarizing his/her responses to his/her "practicum supervisor," with one of the faculty members playing the role of the supervisor. The candidate's

summary should be no longer than 5 minutes. Next, the other faculty member will play the role of the student's mother. The candidate will have 10 minutes to role-play describing his/her responses to Questions 1 and 2 to the "student's mother." The candidate will be evaluated using the Oral Response to Questions Rubric: Part B with regard to content, ability to communicate effectively with differing audiences, and accuracy of response to questions.

- **D**. The candidate may bring notes outlining his/her Written Response to the Comprehensive Question to the Oral Response to Questions. However, the candidate is expected to know his/her material at a high level of mastery and avoid reading from the notes.
- E. Following the role-plays in Part B of the Response to Questions, the faculty panel may ask questions of the candidate regarding the contents of their Entrance Portfolio or their Written Response to the Comprehensive Question. The questioning period will last approximately 5 to 10 minutes.
- **G.** Suggestions for Success on the Oral Response to Questions:
 - 1. Review the Oral Response to Questions Rubrics: Parts A and B.
 - 2. Review case study examples provided across assessment courses.
 - 3. Review guidelines and feedback provided regarding the mock feedback session.
 - 4. Practice providing your responses with an adult who does not have knowledge of school psychology to ensure that you are explaining your responses in understandable terms without use of jargon.
 - 5. Rehearse the timing of your role-play responses to ensure you have included the essential details in an understandable way which allows time for questions in response.

Appendix G:

School Psychology Program Yearly Review of Student Progress – Entrance/M.S.E.

Name of Stude	ent							
Reviewed By								
Date of Review	w:							
Degree Progra	Degree Program: M.S.E.							
Year in Progra	m:	1	2					
			Overa	ll Hardo	copy Port	folio Rub	ric	
			Does Not Required Cor Standa (0-1)	npetency	Competend	Required cy Standard -3)		ds Required ency Standard (4)
	Materials		Materials are In	complete	Materials con	mplete	Extra mate to those rec	rials in addition quired
·	Writing		Written communication is unclear with some grammatical or spelling errors		Clearly written with minimal grammatical or spelling errors		Exceptionally well-written with no grammar or spelling errors	
Content		Content lacks essential information; Products do not exemplify the profession of school psychology		Complete and detailed content; Products are academic and scholarly and exemplify the profession of school psychology		Content beyond requirements; Products are of a quality well above expectations		
	Appearan	ce	Poorly organize poor appearance		Satisfactory and organiza		Singularly appearance organizatio	
1. Eval	uation of (Overall 1	Hardcopy Portf	olio:				
Materials		0	1	2	3	4		
Writing		0		2	3			
Content 0			2	3 4				
Appearance		0	1	2	3	4		
Hardcopy Po	Hardcopy PortfolioMeets Required Competency Does Not Meet Required Competency					Competency		
Written Resp	onse:]	Meets Required	Competen	су Г	Ooes <u>Not</u> Me	et Required	Competency
Oral Respons	se:		Meets Required	l Competer	ncy1	Does <u>Not</u> Me	et Required	Competency
Hardcopy Fa	culty Revi	ewer	_		Date			-

Written Response to the Comprehensive Question Rubric

Assessment Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0-1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard
Style and Organization	Writing shows marked grammar and typographical problems; Poorly proofed; Clarity is compromised; Writing in general is below what is expected; Organization is choppy and/or lacks a logical flow Score	Writing shows minimal grammar and typographical problems; Attention to quality is evident in clarity of language and paragraph construction; Response shows an easily consumable and logical flow Score	Writing is exceptional in every regard; Paragraphs are clearly constructed and transition nearly flawlessly; Body is organized in a creative and tightly constructed fashion that shows superior writing skill
Content	Multiple domains are not addressed or are addressed in an unclear fashion; Legal or ethical issues are missed or poorly addressed; Conclusion shows lack of attention to the problem and/or fails to integrate expected level of knowledge and insight Score	Domains are integrated well into the response in a logical manner with no more than one exception; Ethical and legal issues are subsumed adequately; Conclusion shows attention to detail and integrates expected knowledge and training in an insightful manner	Domains are integrated in a singularly effective manner, demonstrating creative and thoughtful effort beyond what is expected; Conclusion shows exceptional insight, practicality, and professional knowledge

Oral Response to Questions Rubric: Part A

Assessment Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0-1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)
Content	Content is shallow and lacks substance sufficient to judge competency; Integration of learning and experiences is weak or missing	Content shows depth of understanding appropriate to level of training; Competency is clear to reviewers; Conceptualization is integrated with training to date	Content shows insightful depth of understanding beyond what is expected at this level of training; Competency is exceedingly clear to reviewers; Conceptualization is integrated beyond expectations of training to date
	Score	Score	Score
Response to Questioning	Candidate's responses are presented with limited confidence and reflect a weak understanding of the concerns to be addressed; Candidate is clearly uncomfortable and shows difficulty moving beyond a basic understanding of relevant concerns.	Candidate's responses address the questions with adequate depth and are presented with confidence, showing a clear understanding of the subject	Candidate's responses show insight, flexible thinking, and depth of understanding that moves beyond what is required for competency
	Score	Score_	Score

Oral Response to Questions Rubric: Part B

Competency Standard Indidate communicates and language oppropriate to the ience, using jargon and rds that suggest reotypical assumptions; adidate fails to monitor overbal expressions of ener to ensure lerstanding and fails to rify understanding with listener; candidate's e, pace, and body ture are not conducive ouilding rapport.	Competency Standard (2-3) Candidate communicates using language appropriate to the audience, minimizing use of jargon and words that suggest stereotypical assumptions; Candidate monitors nonverbal expressions of listener to ensure understanding clarifying communication as appropriate; candidate's tone, pace, and body posture are	Candidate communicates with ease using language appropriate to the audience which is easily understood and free of jargon and stereotypical assumptions; Candidate is especially sensitive to the nonverbal expressions of the listener immediately checking in and clarifying concerns; candidate's tone, pace,
ng language peropriate to the ience, using jargon and rds that suggest reotypical assumptions; adidate fails to monitor reverbal expressions of ener to ensure lerstanding and fails to rify understanding with listener; candidate's re, pace, and body ture are not conducive	using language appropriate to the audience, minimizing use of jargon and words that suggest stereotypical assumptions; Candidate monitors nonverbal expressions of listener to ensure understanding clarifying communication as appropriate; candidate's tone, pace, and body posture are	with ease using language appropriate to the audience which is easily understood and free of jargon and stereotypical assumptions; Candidate is especially sensitive to the nonverbal expressions of the listener immediately checking in and clarifying concerns;
9 FT V	conducive to building rapport and facilitating conversation.	and body posture are especially conducive to building rapport and establish a conversational style.
ore	Score	Score
e process for ressing the concern nclear or poorly cribed; commendations show of attention to the blem and/or fails to egrate expected level cnowledge and ght	The process for addressing the concern is clearly described reflecting depth of understanding appropriate to level of training; Recommendations show attention to detail and integrate expected knowledge and training in an insightful manner	The process for addressing the concern is effectively described reflecting insightful depth of understanding; Recommendations show attention to practicality and are integrated with professional knowledge beyond expectations of training to date
ore	Score	Score_
ndidate's responses are	Candidate's responses address the questions with adequate depth and are presented with confidence, showing a	Candidate's responses show insight, flexible thinking, and depth of understanding that moves beyond what is required for competency
	ented with limited idence and reflect a cunderstanding of the erns to be addressed;	idence and reflect a with adequate depth and are presented with

Faculty Panel Signatures

Date

Date

Notes on Oral Response to Questions:

G. 1 .		
Student		

Domains Evaluation

Domains Rubric:

Assessment Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0-1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)
Belief Statement/ Reflective Summary	Reflection lacks sufficient insight into personal strengths/ weaknesses and goals as a learner; fails to reflect the breadth and depth of the domain.	Belief statement is personal in tone and reflects the breadth and depth of the domain. Insight into personal strengths/ weaknesses and goals as a learner is evidenced.	Exceeds expectations for this category; depth of insight is beyond what is expected of a student at this level of training.
Action Steps	Student's action steps are not specific, observable, or measurable; action steps are not related to belief statement or do not address weaknesses; actions reflect only opportunities available through continued coursework.	Student identifies two to three action steps which are specific, observable, and measurable and link to their belief statement and their identified weaknesses. Actions reflect opportunities beyond required coursework.	Exceeds expectations for this category; steps are especially well defined operationally and are specific to the student's strengths and weaknesses.

2.1 Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability

Belief/Reflective	0	1	2	3	4
Action Steps	0	1	2	3	4
Artifact	Prese	ent and Rele	vant to Doma	in	

Comments on Domain:

2.2 Consultation and Collaboration

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

2.3 Interventions and Instructional Support to Develop Academic Skills

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.4 Interventions and Mental Health Services to Develop Social and Life Skills

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.5 School-Wide Practices to Promote Learning

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.6 Preventive and Responsive Services

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.7 Family-School Collaboration Services

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.8 Diversity in Development and Learning

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2	3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2	3	4
Artifact	Present and Relevant to Domain			

2.9 Research and Program Evaluation

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.10 Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Stu	dent
Con	ncerns have been raised by the School Psychology Committee in the following areas:
	No concerns have been raised Separate sheet enclosed
	knows and applies APA/NASP Ethical Guidelines. knows and applies other standards and statutes regulating professional practice. displays concern for pupil welfare. behaves appropriately in pupil-school psychologist relationships. displays appropriate professional identity (attire, behavior, etc.). is involved in professional development activities (e.g., professional associations). is aware of personal impact on colleagues (faculty and students).
	Sensitive to Pupil/Ethnic Diversity Issues in professional interaction with children. professional interaction with parents. professional interaction with teachers and/or school administrators. professional interaction with other school/hospital staff (e.g., social workers, guidance counselors, speech therapists, physicians, etc.).
	Is appropriately prepared. Is open to feedback/suggestions Accepts responsibility for own learning. Applies knowledge to practice. Is willing to self-disclose and/or explore personal issues which affect professional functioning. Is appropriately self-reliant. Is appropriately self-critical.
Otl	ner Trainee Issues
	Manages personal stress appropriately. Maintains appropriate professional interaction despite adjustment problems and/or emotional responses. Formulates realistic professional goals for self.

Comments:

School Psychology Program Yearly Review of Student Progress – Practicum

Name of Stud	ent							
Reviewed By								
Date of Revie	w:							
Degree Progra	am:	Ed.S						
Year in Progra	am:	2	3					
			Overa	ıll Hardo	copy Porti	folio Rub	ric	
			Does Not Required Con Standa (0-1)	mpetency ard	Competence	Required by Standard		ds Required ency Standard (4)
	Materials		Materials are In	ncomplete	Materials con	mplete	Extra mate to those re	erials in addition quired
	Writing		Written commu unclear with so grammatical or errors	me	Clearly writte minimal gran spelling error	nmatical or		ally well-written ammar or
	Content		Content lacks e information; do exemplify or m apparent profes purpose of the	oes not nake ssional	Complete and content; Procacademic, scale exemplify the of school psy	lucts are holarly, and e profession		nts; Products are y well above
	Appearan	ce	Poorly organize poor appearance		Satisfactory a and organiza		Singularly appearance organization	
1. Eval	luation of C)verall l	Hardcopy Porti	folio:				_
Materials		0	1	2	3	4		
Writing		0	1	2	3	4		
Content		0		2	3	4		
Appearance Hardcopy Po	ortfolio:	1	1 Meets Required	2 Competen	3 cy1	oes <u>Not</u> Me		l Competency
Required Ar	tifacts:	N	Meet Required (Competency	y1	or More Do	Not Meet F	Required Competend
Signature of	Hardcopy 1	Faculty	Reviewer		Da	ate		
See enclo	osed sheet							

Student

Domains Evaluation

Domains Rubric:

Assessment Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0-1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)
Belief Statement/ Reflective Summary	Reflection lacks sufficient insight into personal strengths/ weaknesses and goals as a learner; fails to reflect the breadth and depth of the domain.	Belief statement is personal in tone and reflects the breadth and depth of the domain. Insight into personal strengths/ weaknesses and goals as a learner is evidenced.	Exceeds expectations for this category; depth of insight is beyond what is expected of a student at this level of training.
Action Steps	Student's action steps are not specific, observable, or measurable; action steps are not related to belief statement or do not address weaknesses; actions reflect only opportunities available through continued coursework.	Student identifies two to three action steps which are specific, observable, and measurable and link to their belief statement and their identified weaknesses. Actions reflect opportunities beyond required coursework.	Exceeds expectations for this category; steps are especially well defined operationally and are specific to the student's strengths and weaknesses.

2.1 Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.2 Consultation and Collaboration

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

2.3 Interventions and Instructional Support to Develop Academic Skills

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.4 Interventions and Mental Health Services to Develop Social and Life Skills

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.5 School-Wide Practices to Promote Learning

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.6 Preventive and Responsive Services

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.7 Family-School Collaboration Services

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relev		

Comments on Domain:

2.8 Diversity in Development and Learning

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relevant to Domain		

2.9 Research and Program Evaluation

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relevant to Domain		

Comments on Domain:

2.10 Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice

Belief/Reflective	0 1	2 3	4
Action Steps	0 1	2 3	4
Artifact	Present and Relevant to Domain		

Stu	ident
Co	ncerns have been raised by the School Psychology Committee in the following areas:
	No concerns have been raised Separate sheet enclosed
	knows and applies APA/NASP Ethical Guidelines. knows and applies other standards and statutes regulating professional practice. displays concern for pupil welfare. behaves appropriately in pupil-school psychologist relationships. displays appropriate professional identity (attire, behavior, etc.). is involved in professional development activities (e.g., professional associations). is aware of personal impact on colleagues (faculty and students).
Is:	Sensitive to Pupil/Ethnic Diversity Issues in professional interaction with children. professional interaction with parents. professional interaction with teachers and/or school administrators. professional interaction with other school/hospital staff (e.g., social workers, guidance counselors, speech therapists, physicians, etc.).
Us	Is appropriately prepared. Is open to feedback/suggestions Accepts responsibility for own learning. Applies knowledge to practice. Is willing to self-disclose and/or explore personal issues which affect professional functioning. Is appropriately self-reliant. Is appropriately self-critical.
Ot	her Trainee Issues
	Manages personal stress appropriately. Maintains appropriate professional interaction despite adjustment problems and/or emotional responses. Formulates realistic professional goals for self.

Comments:

REQUIRED ARTIFACT ASSESSMENT RUBRICS:

Entrance and Practicum Portfolio

ENTRANCE PORTFOLIO SCHOLARLY PAPER RUBRIC

tudent Paper		Rater	
Date			
Total Points	Competent (+6)	Not Yet Competent	

Rubric Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0 -1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)
Relevance of Topic to Domain	Topic does not show clear linkage to training domain for which it is submitted as an artifact; subject area lacks training relevancy	Topic clearly links to domain and subject area shows evident applicability to school psychology training	Requirements for (3) are met; topic shows exceptional relevancy to critical current issue in school psychology training
	Points	Points	Points
Style and Organization	Writing contains typos and grammar problems; Numerous APA style errors are evident; Organization, construction, and flow are problematic	Writing shows few or no typos and is grammatically correct; Minimal or no APA style errors noted; Paragraphs are well- constructed, topic sentences and transitions are evident	Writing meets the requirements for (3) and shows superior organization and construction worthy of merit
	Points	Points	Points
Depth of Scholarship	Scholarship is superficial; Topic is too broad for necessary depth; Overreliance on only a few sources or poor selection of sources evident; Student knowledge acquisition not at graduate-level standard Points	Paper explores topic in sufficient depth; Sources are varied and contain mix of respected primary and secondary references; Acquisition of graduate-level student knowledge evident Points	Scholarship meets requirements for (3) and shows superior depth of inquiry with extensive reliance on quality primary sources

ENTRANCE PORTFOLIO RESEARCH DESIGN RUBRIC

Student	Paper	Rater	
Total Points	Competent (+6)	Not Yet Competent	

Rubric Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0 -1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)	
Topic or Research Question	The topic or research questions are not especially relevant to the practice of school psychology or practical to address in a single study. The research questions and hypotheses are not specific and testable using methods studied in class Points	The topic and research questions are relevant to the practice of school psychology and practical to address in a single study. The research questions and hypotheses are specific and testable using methods studied in class Points	The topic and research questions are addressed in a way that is particularly thoughtful and creative. Points	
Style and Organization	Writing is unclear. Errors of mechanics and grammar are evident. Most paragraphs are not well-constructed; sentence structures do not show graduate-level sophistication and/or appropriate transitions are not used throughout the paper; numerous APA style errors Points	Writing is generally clear and easy to follow. Some errors of mechanics and grammar are evident but do not indicate a general lack of skill. Most paragraphs are well-constructed, sentence structures are appropriate and show graduate-level sophistication, and appropriate transitions are used throughout the paper Points	Writing is more skilled; it is clear, organized, and free of mechanical, grammatical, and other errors at a level greater than expected for this level of graduate study Points	
Scholarship and Methodology	Background literature is not complete or appropriate, does not reflect the best extant studies on the topic, and does not flow well into the research questions and hypotheses. The method section does not give proper details, is not organized effectively, or does not indicate proper and sophisticated use of research methodology. Strengths and weaknesses of the methodology are not correctly identified.	Background literature is clear, reflects the best extant studies on the topic, and flows well into the research questions and hypotheses. The method section gives proper details, is organized effectively, and indicates proper and sophisticated use of research methodology. Strengths and weaknesses of the methodology are insightfully identified and the potential contribution of the study to the literature.	Standards for (3) are met, plus: Student demonstrates an especially thorough and clear understanding of research methodology and statistical analysis. The research plan is more professional and sophisticated than expected for this level of graduate study	
	Points	Points_	Points	

ENTRANCE PORTFOLIO COMPREHENSIVE REPORT RUBRIC

Student	Report_	Rater_	Date
	•		
Total Points	Competent (+8;	no scores of 0)	Not Yet Competent

Rubric Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0 -1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)	
Style and Descriptions	Writing contains typos and grammatical errors; poor organization of ideas; excessive use of jargon; inclusion of irrelevant information	Minimal typos and grammatical errors; ideas are logically organized; minimal use of jargon; information included contributes to understanding	Requirements for (3) are met; demonstrates exceptional clarity and communication of assessment process and results	
	Points	Points	Points	
Interpretation	Inaccurate or insufficient descriptions of assessment process and/or results; no or minimal integration of findings across informants and instruments	Descriptions of assessment process and results are generally accurate; evidence of integration across informants and instruments	Meets the requirements for (3) and shows above average analysis and synthesis of findings; thorough integration across informants and instruments	
	Points	Points	Points	
Hypotheses	Generated hypotheses do not link well to assessment data; hypotheses are not meaningful or functional	Generated hypotheses link to assessment data; hypotheses may contribute to generation of recommendations	Hypotheses meet requirements for (3) and reflect depth of knowledge regarding connection between assessment and intervention recommendations	
	Points	Points	Points	
Recommendations	Do not link to assessment data; recommendations are unreasonable; no evidence-based interventions	Link to assessment data; are generally doable; include at least one evidence-based intervention	Meet requirements for (3) and includes 2 or more evidence-based interventions that are time efficient, socially acceptable, and can be easily incorporated within classroom environment	
	Points	Points	Points	

PRACTICUM CONSULTATION RUBRIC

Student	_ Case	Rater	Date	
Total Points	Competent (+8)	No	t Yet Competent	

Rubric Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0 -1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)
Problem Identification	Problem definition is ambiguous, lacking an operational definition. Baseline data are missing or are inadequate to verify problem and to monitor progress. Points	Problem is operationally defined. Baseline data is adequate to verify the problem and to monitor progress. Points	Problem identification shows requirements for (3) and demonstrates thoroughness in defining the problem and using multiple measures to verify the problem. Points
Problem Analysis	Problem analysis is not linked to intervention decision. Hypotheses are not generated based on the problem analysis data. Intervention decision is poorly conceived for context, lacking collaboration in development.	Problem analysis clearly informs the intervention decision. Hypotheses are databased. Intervention decision shows collaboration, and is reasonable and doable in the context.	Analysis shows requirements for (3) and demonstrates superior creativity and insight in collaborative analysis and intervention design.
Intervention Implementation	Points Intervention is not implemented as designed; Integrity is severely compromised and linkage to hypothesis cannot be established. Points	Evidence is shown that intervention was implemented as designed with high treatment integrity; Linkage to hypothesis is established. Points	Points Implementation shows requirements for (3) and demonstrates superior attention to integrity in the face of unforeseen barriers Points
Progress Monitoring	Formative progress monitoring is missing, slipshod, or poorly linked to intervention effects; Summative data on intervention effectiveness is missing or unclear	Formative progress monitoring is linked to intervention effects and consistently maintained; Summative data demonstrates positive treatment effects Points	Procedure shows requirements for (3) and intervention adjustments based on formative data were successfully implemented Points

PRACTICUM DIRECT INTERVENTION RUBRIC

Student	Case	Rater	Date	
	-		_	
Total Points	Competent (+6)	Not Yet	Competent	

	Does Not Meet Required	Meets Required	Exceeds Required
Rubric	Competency Standard	Competency Standard	Competency Standard
Criteria	(0 -1)	(2-3)	(4)
	Significant delays in	Consents obtained and	
	identification and	required meetings met;	
Intervention	obtaining parent consent	case notes provide	N/A
Organization	resulted in late start;	useful information;	
	Case notes of poor	Behavioral goals well-	
	quality; Behavioral	defined; Pre-post	
	goals poorly defined;	assessments complete	
	Pre-post assessments	and scored	
	incomplete		
	Points	Points	
	Behavioral goals not	Behavioral goals	Implementation
	addressed or poorly	clearly addressed;	shows requirements
	addressed; Intervention	Intervention is	for (3) and
Intervention	is not implemented as	implemented as	demonstrates
Implementation	designed	designed with high	superior attention to
		treatment integrity	integrity in the face
			of unforeseen
			barriers
	Points	Points	Points
	Formative progress	Formative progress	Procedure shows
_	monitoring is missing,	monitoring is linked to	requirements for (3)
Progress	slipshod, or poorly	intervention effects	and intervention
Monitoring	linked to intervention	and consistently	adjustments based
	effects; Summative data	maintained;	on formative data
	on intervention	Summative data	were successfully
	effectiveness is missing	demonstrates positive	implemented
	or unclear	treatment effects	
	Points	Points	Points
1	1 011110	1 OIIIts	i omis

PRACTICUM PSYCHOLOGICAL REPORT RUBRIC

Student	Case	Rater	Date
Total Points	Competent (+6)	Not Yo	et Competent

Rubric Criteria	Does Not Meet Required Competency Standard (0 -1)	Meets Required Competency Standard (2-3)	Exceeds Required Competency Standard (4)
Assessment Procedure Selection	Selected procedures: (a) do not link to referral concern and/or; (b) are insufficient to assess referral concern and/or; (c) are not multi-source/multi- setting	Selected procedures are valid for the referral concern and directly assess the problem with sufficient multi- source/multi-setting data	Procedure shows requirements for (3) and demonstrates unusual insight and thoroughness to assess a particularly complex referral concern
	Points	Points	Points
Psychological Report Body	Writing contains typos and grammar problems that are poorly addressed in re- write; Psychological jargon is overly evident, decreasing parent-friendliness; Organization is problematic; Report fails to address the referral concern	Writing contains minimal typos and grammar problems, both corrected satisfactorily on re- write; Report is organized in a logical flow; Referral concern is addressed in a parent-friendly manner	Writing meets the requirements for (3) and shows superior skill at articulating an unusually complex diagnostic concern
	Points	Points	Points
Recommendations	Recommendations are: (a) trite and unuseful and/or (b) unlinked to the data or referral concern and/or (c) unclear or overly complex, and/or (d) inappropriate for resources and setting	Recommendations are linked to the assessment data and address the referral concern; They show concern for evidence-based practices; They are practical and realistic in the setting	Recommendations show requirements for (3) and demonstrate superior grasp of intervention procedures necessary and doable for a particularly challenging concern
	Points	Points	Points

Appendix I:

WISC CHECKOUT POLICY

To ensure students' skills are competent to begin administering tests to children at their practicum sites, students will be required to pass a WISC checkout procedure. In late August, students will turn in completed test record forms and response booklets to demonstrate that they have practiced test administration during the summer months between their first and second years in the program. Tests to be practiced will be assigned by assessment course instructors during the prior spring semester. Students will meet with faculty to administer portions of the WISC to a faculty member acting as a child while one to two additional faculty members observe the administration.

Feedback about the quality of the administration will be provided immediately following the administration. Within 24 hours, faculty will inform the student by email whether they considered the administration competent or whether the number and type of errors reflected less than competent skills.

If a student passes the checkout procedure, they may begin testing students at their practicum site. If a student passes with concerns noted, faculty may require the student to consult with their field supervisor to develop an individualized plan for further observation, shadow scoring, supervised administrations, or other procedures before testing children independently. If a student fails the checkout procedure, faculty will work with the student to develop an individualized remediation plan that may include additional administrations, a written exam, or other requirements. The student's university supervisor may check with their field supervisor to verify completion of an individualized plan.

Students may not begin testing children at their practicum sites until faculty have cleared them to do so following successful implementation of a remediation plan. If a student has been found to be testing children at their practicum site without clearance from faculty, program dismissal may result. Should a student fail to demonstrate competent administration skills following implementation of a remediation plan, program dismissal may result.

App	pendix J:	POST-INTERNSH	IP SATISFACTIO	ON SURVEY	
Dat	es of Internship: F	From To			
1.	expected in th Disagree	e and skills in the area o is internship Moderately Disagree 2	f data-based decision- Moderately Agree	making have shov Agree 4	vn the growth I
	Comment:				
2.		e and skills in the area o with I expected in this in Moderately Disagree 2		lemic and behavio	oral problems have
3.		e and skills about effects with I expected in this in Moderately Disagree 2		Agree 4	itive skills have
4.	My knowledg expected in th Disagree 1 Comment:	e and skills related to division is internship Moderately Disagree 2	versity in the school se Moderately Agree 3	etting have shown Agree 4	the growth I
5.	expected in th	ding about the workings is internship Moderately Disagree 2	•		shown the growth I
6.		e and skills related to probave shown the growth Moderately Disagree 2			health needs in the
7.		e and skills related to ho cted in this internship Moderately Disagree 2	ome/school/community Moderately Agree 3	collaboration har Agree 4	ve shown the

Comment:

8.	this internship Disagree 1	Moderately Disagree 2	Moderately Agree 3	Agree 4	expected in
	Comment:				
9.	I received usef Disagree 1 Comment:	Ful professional supervis Moderately Disagree 2	sion from my field-ba Moderately Agree 3	sed supervisor Agree 4	
10.	The Internship Disagree 1 Comment:	Seminar was a worthw Moderately Disagree 2	rhile use of my time Moderately Agree 3	Agree 4	
	the following areas	s of professional prepara?	ation, in which one(s)	did you feel the least co	ompetent
	Assess:Legal/IConsulConsulDirect 'Direct 'Workir	ment – IEP ment – Non-IEP Ethical Practice tation – Behavioral tation – Academic tation – System or Buil Treatment – Group Treatment – Individual ng with multicultural po			
Please	provide clarifying	comments for any check	ks:		
	t way(s) might the s and interns?	UW-W School Psychol	ogy Program improve	the professional prepar	ration of its
Thank	you for completing	g this survey!			

Appendix K:

Administrator Evaluation UW-Whitewater School Psychology Program

Today's Date:		Dist	District:		
Name of School Psyc	hologist:				
Administrator:		Title	e:		
•	-	sychologist can be con egular; weekly or almo		_Rare	
Please circle "No Op	portunity" if you lac	ck sufficient exposure t	o the skill to offer a rat	ing	
		dequately prepared for Mildly Disagree 2	the requirements of th Strongly Disagree 1	is position No Opportunity	
2. The school obligations		ompetent in the timely	completion of required	d IEP assessment	
<u>C</u>		Mildly Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	No Opportunity	
			g IEP paperwork responsive Strongly Disagree		
4. The school Strongly Agree 4			rstanding of IEP legal : Strongly Disagree 1		
			rofessionals appropriat Strongly Disagree 1		
6. The school students w		tionships with school p	professional staff serve	d the needs of	
Strongly Agree 4		Mildly Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	No Opportunity	
7. The school problems	l psychologist was a	ble to consult with tead	chers effectively on stu	dent academic	
Strongly Agree 4	Generally Agree 3	Mildly Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	No Opportunity	
8. The schoo problems	l psychologist was a	ble to consult with tead	chers effectively on stu	dent behavioral	
Strongly Agree 4	Generally Agree 3	Mildly Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	No Opportunity	

9.		ol psychologist was a n-level issues	able to consult effectiv	sult effectively with administrative staff on building-leve		
Stron 4	gly Agree	Generally Agree 3	Mildly Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	No Opportunity	
10. Strong	The scho	ol psychologist show Generally Agree 3	ved sensitivity and skill Mildly Disagree 2	l in matters of student d Strongly Disagree 1	iversity No Opportunity	
11. Strong	The scho	ol psychologist brou Generally Agree 3	ght progressive and cre Mildly Disagree 2	eative thinking to this posterior Strongly Disagree 1	osition No Opportunity	
12. Strong	My trust gly Agree	in this school psych Generally Agree 3	ologist to effectively c Mildly Disagree 2	arry out responsibilities Strongly Disagree 1	was upheld No Opportunity	
13. Strong		pon my experience vogist in the future Generally Agree 3	with this employee, I w Mildly Disagree 2	ould hire another UW- Strongly Disagree 1	Whitewater school No Opportunity	
ease re	spond brief	ly to the following:				
A.	The most	evident training wea	kness I perceived in th	is school psychologist	was:	
В.	The most	evident training stre	ength I perceived in thi	s school psychologist w	⁄as:	
C.	School p	sychologists at UW-	Whitewater should reco	eive additional training	in:	

Thank you very much for this evaluation. Please return in the enclosed envelope.



The University of Wisconsin-Whitewater College of Education and Professional Studies requires a criminal background check conducted through www.certifiedbackground.com for all students working in the field. The comprehensive background check includes a residency check, nationwide criminal background check, and a national criminal database.

Prior to field based placements, students are required to conduct their own background check at an initial cost of \$43. Each consecutive background check will cost \$19. There is an installment option. The results will be available to the student, the university, and other agencies and institutions.

Instructions:

- 1. Visit www.certifiedbackground.com
- 2. In the PLACE ORDER box indicate UW-Whitewater package code:
 - a. Initial Check: UG82
 - b. Recheck: UG82re (only to be used for follow up background checks)
- 3. Review information and check agree to terms. Select CONTINUE ORDER.
- 4. Enter your personal information. <u>Double check all information for accuracy.</u>
- 5. Complete your payment, create a login, and finish your order.
- 6. An automatic email will be sent to the email address you provided indicating that your background check has been requested.
- 7. Within two weeks, you will receive an additional email indicating that your background check has been completed.
- 8. Log back in to view, save, and print your results.
- 9. The UW-Whitewater College of Education and Professional Studies will receive your results from Certified Background, Inc. and will distribute them to your field based site. If there is history present on your background check, you will be notified by the Office of Field Experiences via email with further information in regards to composing a letter to accompany your background check. If you are not contacted, no further action on your part is required.

If you have any questions or concerns about this process please contact the Office of Field Experiences @ (262) 472-1123 or <u>roehli@uww.edu</u>.