

Summary of Contents

Introduction

Overview of the University & Self-Study Process

Institutional Structure and Governance	1
Significant Developments at UW-Whitewater: 1996-2006	3
Responses to the 1996 NCA Site Visit Report	8
UW-Whitewater Accreditation History	14
Self-Study Process	14
Structure of the Self-Study Report	15

Chapter One

Criterion #1: Mission and Integrity

Core Component 1a

- Evidence 1a-1: The institution has adopted mission documents that clearly and broadly define its purposes, intentions, and commitments..... 18
- Evidence 1a-2: The mission documents underscore the institution’s commitment to high academic standards that are supported by the policies and practices of the institution..... 19
- Evidence 1a-3: The institution makes the mission documents available to the public, particularly to prospective and enrolled students. 20

Core Component 1b

- Evidence 1b-1: The institution addresses the importance of diversity in its mission documents..... 22
- Evidence 1b-2: The institution has established administrative structures and provides programming and support services to fulfill diversity-relevant portions of its mission..... 22

Core Component 1c

- Evidence 1c-1: The institution evaluates and, when appropriate, revises mission documents through an open, collaborative and public process..... 25
- Evidence 1c-2: Institutional planning and budgeting decisions are driven by the University’s mission..... 26
- Evidence 1c-3: The missions of the institution’s academic and non-academic units are clearly articulated and congruent with the broader mission of the University..... 27
- Evidence 1c-4: The institution’s internal review systems assure that academic and non-academic units function effectively in fulfilling the University’s mission..... 29

Core Component 1d

- Evidence 1d-1: The Board of Regents empowers the Chancellor with the necessary authority to fulfill the University’s mission..... 31
- Evidence 1d-2: The University’s governance structures are inclusive, open, have well-defined responsibilities, and work collaboratively with campus leadership to achieve the institution’s mission..... 32
- Evidence 1d-3: Advisory Boards allow internal and external constituents to provide input on ways for the institution to better achieve its mission..... 34

Core Component 1e

- Evidence 1e-1: The State of Wisconsin and the UW System delineate standards for open and honest operation and have procedures for assuring adherence..... 35
- Evidence 1e-2: The institution’s administrative units comply with campus, UW System, state, and federal laws and regulations relevant to its Mission and operation..... 37

- Evidence 1e-3: The UW System and the University have proven policies and procedures for fairly, objectively, and quickly addressing complaints, grievances, and appeals in a timely manner..... 39

Conclusions Relevant to the Four Cross-Cutting Themes

UW-W as a Future-Oriented Organization

- Strength: Revision of the Select Mission and the development of Core Values and Organizational Objectives facilitate institutional planning..... 41
- Strength: Institutional decisions are mission driven..... 41

UW-W as a Learning-Focused Organization

- Strength: Mission documents underscore the centrality of learning..... 41

UW-W as a Connected Organization

- Strength: Mission documents are a result of an open and collaborative process with internal and external stakeholders..... 42

UW-W as a Distinctive Organization

- Strength and Challenge: The institution is fulfilling its stated commitments to creating and supporting a diverse student body, but faces new challenges in doing so in the years ahead..... 42

Chapter Two

Criterion #2: Planning for the Future

Core Component 2a

- Evidence 2a-1: The University’s planning processes are responsive to a complex and dynamic operating environment..... 44
- Evidence 2a-2: University planning reflects awareness of evolving societal and economic trends..... 46
- Evidence 2a-3: Planning occurs at multiple levels within the University, is integrative, and mission-driven..... 48
- Evidence 2a-4: Feedback from internal and external constituencies aids the University in refining its strategic planning initiatives..... 50

Core Component 2b

- Evidence 2b-1: Resource allocation, reallocation, and deallocation decisions affirm UW-W’s commitment to high quality academic and co-curricular programs..... 54
- Evidence 2b-2: The institution has demonstrated an ability to enhance an increasingly challenged resource base. 57

Core Component 2c

- Evidence 2c-1: The institution has expanded its data collecting, data storing, data sharing skills, and capacity..... 60
- Evidence 2c-2: The institution’s internal review procedures keep academic and co-curricular programs accountable, oriented toward systematic, data-driven performance evaluation, and focused on improvement..... 64

Core Component 2d

- Evidence 2d-1: Strategic and Operational planning and budgeting processes have resulted in progress toward fulfilling the mission..... 66
- Evidence 2d-2: Planning and follow-up processes are flexible, allowing focus on the mission even when addressing shifts in internal requirements and external conditions..... 67
- Evidence 3d-3: Planning processes are inclusive, creating collaborative and environmentally-focused planning..... 68

Conclusions Relevant to the Four Cross-Cutting Themes

UW-W as a Future-Oriented Organization

- Strength: Complex and fluid environmental conditions have led to the adoption of shorter, more responsive strategic planning cycles..... 70

• Challenge: State-mandated budget reductions and internal budgeting practices hinder the perception of an integrated campus planning process.....	70
UW-W as a Learning-Focused Organization	
• Challenge: Continued reductions in operating budgets threaten instructional quality and student learning.....	71
UW-W as a Connected Organization	
• Strength: Strategic decisions relevant to the implementation of technology have improved access to critical information and data.....	71
UW-W as a Distinctive Organization	
• Strength: Internal quality assurance processes lead academic and non-instructional programs to be reflective, accountable, and focused on improvement.....	71

Chapter Three

Criterion #3: Student Learning and Effective Teaching

Core Component 3a

• Evidence 3a-1: The General Education Program, undergraduate majors and minors, and graduate programs have clearly stated learning outcomes.....	74
• Evidence 3a-2: Data assessing student achievement of learning outcomes are gathered in direct and indirect ways from internal and external stakeholders.....	76
• Evidence 3a-3: Data gathered to assess student learning outcomes are used for improvement at institutional, college, department/program and course levels.....	80
• Evidence 3a-4: The institution provides resources to support academic assessment initiatives.....	83
• Evidence 3a-5: Efforts to articulate and assess student learning outcomes occur in non-instructional and co-curricular units.....	84
• Evidence 3a-6: Academic assessment processes undergo systematic review from internal and external mechanisms in ways that strengthen and improve learning assurance initiatives.....	84

Core Component 3b

• Evidence 3b-1: Instructional excellence is rewarded and widely recognized through the institution's promotional mechanisms and rituals.....	86
• Evidence 3b-2: Evaluation of instruction is conducted in multiple ways and effectively fulfills summative and formative purposes.....	87
• Evidence 3b-3: Programming to improve instruction is oriented toward keeping faculty and instructional staff current with research-based principles, best practices, and innovative application.....	89
• Evidence 3b-4: Instructional development programming is varied in purpose, garners high levels of participation, and meets the needs of faculty and instructional staff.....	90

Core Component 3c

• Evidence 3c-1: The institution actively promotes learning through student experiences in non-classroom and co-curricular opportunities.....	93
• Evidence 3c-2: Non-instructional units, individually and as effective collaborators with academic programs, create new and improve existing contexts for learning.....	95
• Evidence 3c-3: The institution uses technology to support and deliver instruction in ways that expand student access and facilitate student learning.....	98
• Evidence 3c-4: The institution articulates distinctions in learning expectations and processes for graduate and undergraduate students.....	101

Core Component 3d

• Evidence 3d-1: Facilities designed to support student learning are continuously expanded and improved.....	103
• Evidence 3d-2: The institution provides services and resources that effectively support learning for a diverse student population.....	106

- Evidence 3d-3: Services that support and enhance learning experiences engage in processes that evaluate performance and lead to continuous improvement..... 110

Conclusions Relevant to the Four Cross-Cutting Themes

UW-W as a Future-Oriented Organization

- Strength: Strategic decisions relative to technology have positioned the institution to reach, instruct, and support current and future students more effectively..... 114
- Strength: Effective planning has ensured that facilities to support student learning are continuously updated and improved..... 114

UW-W as a Learning-Focused Organization

- Strength and Challenge: Academic assessment initiatives continue to expand and mature, but will require continued attention and resources..... 115
- Strength: The institution values and supports effective instruction..... 115

UW-W as a Connected Organization

- Strength: Collaborations between non-instructional and academic programs have expanded and improved learning opportunities for students..... 116
- Challenge: General Education assessment efforts would benefit from improved collaboration among internal constituencies..... 116

UW-W as a Distinctive Organization

- Strength: The institution’s provision of support services underscores its appreciation of the needs of diverse learners..... 116

Chapter Four

Criterion #4: Acquisition, Discovery, & Application of Knowledge

Core Component 4a

- Evidence 4a-1: The UW System and University clearly articulate and endorse the practice of freedom of inquiry for students, faculty, and staff..... 118
- Evidence 4a-2: The UW System and University support ongoing professional development of administrators, faculty, and staff..... 118
- Evidence 4a-3: The institution effectively supports the development of research and scholarly activity skills in faculty and students..... 121
- Evidence 4a-4: The institution recognizes research and scholarly/creative achievement of students, faculty and staff..... 123

Core Component 4b

- Evidence 4b-1: The goals of the General Education program align with the institutional Mission Statement..... 125
- Evidence 4b-2: The General Education program provides educational coherence for the University’s varied baccalaureate degrees..... 126
- Evidence 4b-3: The institution has aligned resources, administrative oversight, and review mechanisms to ensure that General Education remains vital, aligns with the mission, is interdisciplinary in nature, and plays a preeminent role in the University’s curriculum..... 128
- Evidence 4b-4: Undergraduate majors and minors refine universally-relevant skills through application to their discipline-specific needs..... 129
- Evidence 4b-5: Graduate programs, while oriented toward professional specialization, develop universally-relevant skills, including intellectual inquiry, applied learning, and communication..... 130

Core Component 4c

- Evidence 4c-1: Institutional policies and quality assurance processes provide systematic reviews by multiple stakeholders that ensure curricular relevance and currency..... 132
- Evidence 4c-2: Through an integrated baccalaureate curriculum and supporting co-curricular programs, the institution ensures that students acquire skills and perspectives necessary for success in a global, diverse, and technologically-oriented world..... 133

- Evidence 4c-3: Academic and non-instructional programs promote socially and civically responsible behavior..... 137

Core Component 4d

- Evidence 4d-1: The institution’s academic and non-instructional programs develop student understanding of issues and policies relevant to academic integrity, and work collaboratively to effectively assure compliance..... 138
- Evidence 4d-2: The institution provides multiple support and oversight mechanisms to assure integrity in research efforts conducted by faculty, staff, and students..... 140
- Evidence 4d-3: The UW System and the institution have developed and make widely available policies and guidelines relevant to intellectual property rights..... 141

Conclusions Relevant to the Four Cross-Cutting Themes

UW-W as a Future-Oriented Organization

- Strength: The University’s General Education (GE) program signals the institution’s commitment to reflect the society in which graduates will be expected to live—diverse, global, and techno-centric..... 142

UW-W as a Learning-Focused Organization

- Strength & Challenge: Planning decisions reflect the institution’s commitment to the professional development of faculty and staff, a commitment that may be difficult to sustain in periods of declining resources..... 142
- Strength: Faculty and students are actively involved in the creation, discovery and sharing of new knowledge, creating new learning opportunities for students..... 143
- Strength: Curricula are oriented toward developing universally-relevant skills, and are designed to progressively develop student skills in acquiring, discovering, and applying knowledge..... 143

UW-W as a Connected Organization

- Strength: Connections with external constituencies ensure that curricula are current and focused on developing relevant knowledge and skills..... 144
- Strength: A strong culture of undergraduate research fosters strong connections between students and faculty..... 144

UW-W as a Distinctive Organization

- Strength: Research protocol processes ensure that research is conducted in ethically and socially responsible ways by faculty, staff, and students..... 144

Chapter Five
Criterion #5: Engagement and Service

Core Component 5a

- Evidence 5a-1: The institution fulfills its publicly declared commitments to service and regional engagement in multiple ways..... 146
- Evidence 5a-2: The University serves diverse audiences through a variety of outreach and service initiatives..... 147
- Evidence 5a-3: Consistent with its mission, the institution serves as regional center for cultural and economic activity..... 150

Core Component 5b

- Evidence 5b-1: Faculty and staff readily share their expertise with regional stakeholders..... 153
- Evidence 5b-2: Faculty and staff readily share their expertise with their professional organizations..... 154
- Evidence 5b-3: Students, through curricular and co-curricular activities, engage with external stakeholders in ways that both value..... 154
- Evidence 5b-4: UW-W extends its capacity to engage in the region through the development of collaborative partnerships..... 156

Core Component 5c

- Evidence 5c-1: The institution’s decentralized, stakeholder-centered approach to outreach positions it to deliver programs and services that are responsive to the needs of the region..... 158
- Evidence 5c-2: Outreach programming is responsive to changes in public policy and societal and economic trends..... 159

Core Component 5d

- Evidence 5d-1: Reciprocal engagement between the University and the community promotes mutually beneficial influences and increased operating efficiencies..... 160
- Evidence 5d-2: The institution shares its facilities and resources with the community and region..... 162

Conclusions Relevant to the Four Cross-Cutting Themes

UW-W as a Future-Oriented Organization

- Strength: The institution, consistent with its Select Mission, effectively serves as a regional cultural and economic center..... 163

UW-W as a Learning-Focused Organization

- Challenge: The institution would enhance student learning by moving more fully from a “culture of service” toward a “culture of engagement.”..... 163

UW-W as a Connected Organization

- Strength: Consistent with its Core Value “Commitment to Serve,” the institution has developed a culture of service to the region..... 164

UW-W as a Distinctive Organization

- Strength: Outreach programming is responsive, based on what it learns from those it serves..... 164
- Strength: The institution uses its resources and expertise to serve diverse populations in the region and promote awareness about diversity..... 164

Chapter Six
Change Request

What change is proposed?..... 165

What factors led the organization to undertake the proposed change?..... 167

What necessary approvals have been obtained to implement the proposed change?..... 169

What impact might the proposed change have on challenges identified by the Commission as part of or subsequent to the last comprehensive visit?..... 170

What are the organization’s plans to implement and sustain the proposed change?..... 172

What are the organization’s strategies to evaluate the proposed change?..... 180

Conclusions Relevant to the Four Cross-Cutting Themes

UW-W as a Future-Oriented Organization

- Strength: The change request is consistent with the institution’s mission documents and strategic planning initiatives..... 182
- Strength: Approval of the change request would better equip the institution to meet the needs of a growing constituency of non-traditional learners..... 182

UW-W as a Learning-Focused Organization

- Strength: The institution has extensive experience in providing online education and services in place that ensure effective pedagogy, student learning, and support for student learning..... 183
- Challenge: The proposal will require the development of new and additional skills in faculty teaching in online programs..... 183

UW-W as a Connected Organization	
• Strength: A collaborative relationship with UW System Two Year Colleges will create a seamless pathway for interested students.....	183
• Challenge: Online baccalaureate programs stand to influence the institution’s relationship with residential students in ways yet to be determined.....	183
UW-W as a Distinctive Organization	
• Strength: Approval of the change request will further distinguish the institution as a premier provider of online learning.....	184
• Strength: All online programs will be included in traditional internal review processes, ensuring that they are self-reflective, accountable, and focused on improvement.....	184

Chapter Seven

Summary of Findings & Request for Continued Accreditation

Summary of Findings

UW-Whitewater as a Future-Oriented Organization

• Strength: UW-W is mission-driven in its planning, policies, procedures, and organizational actions.....	187
• Strength: UW-W has embraced and implemented technology in ways that improve its operation, enhance student access, and position it for future success.....	187
• Challenge: Developing student appreciation of diversity- and globalization-related issues will require continued attention.....	188
• Challenge: UW-W must become more entrepreneurial in its thinking and programming.....	188

UW-Whitewater as Learning-Centered

• Strength: UW-W has expanded its array of learning opportunities for students in ways that have strengthened its capacity to serve as a regional comprehensive university.....	189
• Challenge: UW-W must find new ways to support faculty in fulfilling evolving roles and completing additional responsibilities.....	189

UW-Whitewater as a Connected Organization

• Strength: UW-W has strong, wide-ranging, mutually beneficial connections with constituents in the region.....	190
• Challenge: UW-W must continue to help faculty connect and collaborate in meaningful and profitable ways.....	190

UW-Whitewater as a Distinctive Organization

• Strength and Challenge: UW-W demonstrates a strong commitment to matriculating and graduating a diverse student body, but faces a number of challenges in sustaining these efforts in the years ahead.....	191
• Strength: UW-W is responsive to problems and oriented toward continuous improvement.....	191

Request for Re-Accreditation.....	192
--	------------

Appendices

[Appendix A: Profile of the University](#)

[Appendix B: Organizational Chart](#)

[Appendix C: Committee Assignments for Self-Study Process](#)

[Appendix D: Advisory Boards in Academic Units](#)

Notes to Readers

Hyperlinks to Evidence Documents

Throughout this document, the reader will find references to various programs and supporting documents. Many of these will be underlined, indicating that the reader can access a website or digital version of supporting evidence through a hyperlink. These hyperlinks are accessible through:

- the CD version of the *UW-W 2006 Self-Study*; and
- the web-based version of the Self-Study at <www.edu/hlcselfstudy/2006reports.php>.

Clicking on the hyperlink will provide direct access to the website or a digital version supporting document.

Example:

The 2005 Information Technology Strategic Plan outlines the University's strategic priorities for funding and implementing technology across the campus.

List of Common Abbreviations

- AACSB (Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business)
- AAEC (Academic Advising and Exploration Center)
- A&R (Audit and Review, internal review process for academic programs)
- ASA (Academic Staff Assembly)
- BOS (Business Outreach Services)
- CESA #2(Cooperative Educational Service Agency, second district)
- CIRP (Cooperative Institutional Research Program)
- CSD (Center for Students with Disabilities)
- D2L (Desire to Learn, course management system)
- FERPA (Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act)
- FTE (Full-Time Equivalent)
- GC (Graduate Council)
- GE (General Education)
- GERC (General Education Review Committee, reviews GE Core Courses)
- GPR (General Purpose Revenue, funding allocations from the State of Wisconsin)
- IACUC (Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee)
- iCIT (Instructional, Communication, and Information Technology)
- LEARN Center (Learning Enhancement, Assessment, and Research Network)
- LDC (Leadership Development Center)
- LTC (Learning Technology Center)
- NCATE (National Council for the Accreditation for Teacher Education)
- NSSE (National Survey of Student Engagement)
- OPR (Office Performance Review, internal review process for non-instructional programs)
- ORSP (Office of Research and Sponsored Programs)
- Regents (UW System Board of Regents)
- SEAL (Student Entertainment & Awareness League)
- SEVIS (Student Exchange and Visitor Information System)
- SPBC (Strategic Planning & Budget Committee)
- SUFAC (Segregated University Fee Allocation Committee)
- UCC (University Curriculum Committee)
- URP (Undergraduate Research Program)
- WSG (Whitewater Student Government)