

Department of Social Work - Field Program

MSW STUDENT FIELD EVALUATION-ADVANCED STANDING

D - 4 -

Name of Student:	_ Date:				
Student ID#:	Evaluation Period: Semester One Semester Two				
Faculty Field Liaison:	Hours Completed to Date:/250				
Agency Name:	Field Instructor Name:				
For Office Use Only: CSWE Field Placement Category:					
requires master's level social work (MSW) students deplacement is an area in which the student is expected to	(CSWE), the accrediting body on social work education, emonstrate competency in 9 areas. The social work field demonstrate competency of these behaviors. To ensure the tructors are asked to evaluate the student at the end of the				

DIRECTIONS

N - - - - - - C C - - - 1 - - - 4

Please reflect over the evaluation period and evaluate the extent to which the competencies have been met, using the 5-point rating scale indicated below (1=poor to 5=excellent). Indicate your response by placing an "x" in the box that corresponds to the observed behavior listed in the second column labeled *assessed indicators*.

first semester and the end of the second semester of the field placement.

For each competency area, compute the *Mean Score* by summing all items for each behavior that received a numeric score (1 - 5) and dividing by the number of items that were scored. Where the word **client** or **clients** is used, it is meant to represent groups, communities, and organizations.

Rating	Categories	Definition of Categories
1	Poor	The student is functioning significantly below expectations for students in this area.
2	Fair	The student is functioning somewhat below expectations for students in this area.
3	3 Good The student has met the expectations for students in this area.	
4	Very Good	The student is functioning somewhat above expectations for students in this area.
5	Excellent	The student has excelled in this area.

COMPETENCY 1: DEMONSTRATE ETHICAL AND PROFESSIONAL BEHAVIOR

Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent
1. Employs the NASW Code of Ethics to guide professional conduct.					
Utilizes ethical dilemma decision making models when encountering ethical dilemmas.					
3. Demonstrates professional social work demeanor in behavior.					
Mean Competency Score					

Comments:

COMPETENCY 2: ENGAGE DIVERSITY AND DIFFERENCE IN PRACTICE

Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent
 Recognizes the importance of diversity in all aspects of social work practice. 					
2. Acknowledges how their individual biases influences their social work practice.					
3. Develops interventions that are sensitive to the clients' diverse backgrounds.					
Mean Competency Score					

COMPETENCY 3: ADVANCE HUMAN RIGHTS AND SOCIAL, ECONOMIC & ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent
1. Demonstrates ability to advocate for social justice.					
2. Engages in practices that advances social justice.					
Mean Competency Score					

Comments:

COMPETENCY 4: ENGAGE IN PRACTICE-INFORMED RESEARCH AND RESEARCH-INFORMED PRACTICE

Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent
 Identifies areas in practice in which additional research would benefit practice. 					
2. Demonstrates the ability to transfer evidence-based knowledge to practice.					
Mean Competency Score					

COMPETENCY 5: ENGANGE IN POLICY PRACTIC	E						
Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent		
1. Identifies policies that impact the delivery of client services.							
2. Advocates for policy change that advances client outcomes.							
Mean Competency Score							
Comments:							
COMPETENCY 6: ENGAGE WITH INDIVIDUALS, FAMILIES, GROUPS, ORGANIZA	ΓΙΟΝS,	AND C	Сомми	NITIES			
				-			
Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent		
				Ver	Exc		
1. Utilizes diverse strategies of engagement specific to client's needs.							
2. Engages clients in ways that respect their individual differences.							
3. Demonstrates the use of interpersonal skills to develop collaborative relationships.							
Mean Competency Score	•	•		<u>'</u>			

COMPETENCY 7: ASSESS INDIVIDUALS, FAMILIES, GROUPS, ORGANIZATI	IUNS,	AND	COM	IIVIIVI	JNII	IE3
Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4	very Good	Excellent
1. Utilizes theory to guide assessment.						
2. Appropriately utilizes diagnostic tools (i.e. DSMV and ICD) during assessment.						
Mean Competency Score						
Comments:						
COMPETENCY 8: INTERVENE WITH INDIVIDUALS, FAMILIES, GROUPS, ORGANIZA	TIONS,	AND	Соми	MUNIT	TES	
Assessed Indicators		Poor	Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent
1. Engages with clients to identify mutually agreed upon interventions.						
2. Demonstrates skills in working with difficult client issues.						
3. Terminates client relationships effectively.] [
Mean Competency Score						

COMPETENCY 9: EVALUATE PRACTICE WITH INDIVIDUALS, FAMILIES, GROUPS, ORGANIZATIONS, AND COMMUNITIES

Assessed Indicators	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent
1. Uses appropriate methods to evaluate client outcomes.					
2. Monitors clients' progress to ensure interventions are meeting desired client outcomes.					
Mean Competency Score					

OVERALL EVALUATION

Mean Competency Scores	Competency	Description			
	COMPETENCY 1	Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior			
	COMPETENCY 2	Engage Diversity and Difference In Practice			
	COMPETENCY 3	Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic & Environmental Justice			
	COMPETENCY 4	Engage In Practice-informed Research And Research-informed Practice			
	COMPETENCY 5	Engage In Policy Practice			
	COMPETENCY 6	Engage With Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities			
	COMPETENCY 7	Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities			
	COMPETENCY 8	Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities			
	COMPETENCY 9	Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities			
* Copy the mean competency scores from the previous pages.					
	OVERALL MEAN SCORE**	** To compute <i>Overall Mean Score</i> , add mean scores from 9 competency areas and divide by 9.			

Agency Field Instructor Name:	Date:
Signature of Agency Field Instructor:	

STUDENT FIELD EVALUATION

~ This section to be completed by the student ~ My Field Instructor and Faculty Field Liaison have discussed this evaluation with me, and I have received a copy. Please check level of agreement.	
I do not agree with evaluation	n*
Comments:	
Student Name:	Date:
Signature of Student:	
	she/he should state that disagreement in writing and submit a copy to alty Field Liaison. The disagreement should be specific and should n.
	e completed by the Faculty Field Liaison ~
I have discussed the student's performance win the following level of agreement.	vith all parties. Each part has received a copy of the evaluation. I am
I agree with the evaluation	
I do not agree with evaluation	n*
Comments:	
Faculty Field Liaison Name:	Date:
Signature of Faculty Field Liaison:	