## WRITING MATTERS: A Guide to UW-W Writing Standards

	Accomplished	Competent	Developing
Focus/Thesis	<ul> <li>Explicitly and fully addresses the assignment</li> <li>Thesis or central idea is clear, compelling, arguable, original, and complex</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Addresses assignment but may be less relevant</li> <li>Thesis/central idea is clear but simplistic, obvious, and/or superficial</li> </ul>	☐ Partially addresses the assignment ☐ Thesis/central idea may be absent OR may be unclear, unfocused and/or confused
Analysis/ Interpretation	<ul> <li>Demonstrates complete, accurate, and insightful understanding and use of materials</li> <li>Develops and synthesizes substantive and persuasive arguments/ideas/interpretations</li> <li>Demonstrates complex engagement of alternative perspectives/context</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Displays complete and accurate understanding of materials, but uneven ability to shape and contextualize materials</li> <li>□ Introduces substantive and persuasive ideas/arguments /interpretations but these are not fully developed or synthesized</li> <li>□ Shows awareness of alternative perspectives/context</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Shows inaccurate and incomplete understanding OR accurate but superficial understanding OR doesn't move beyond simple summary and description</li> <li>□ Presents obvious and unoriginal OR illogical OR unpersuasive ideas/arguments</li> <li>□ Lack of awareness of alternative perspectives/context</li> </ul>
Coherence and Organization	<ul> <li>□ Focused and logical development of thesis/ideas throughout</li> <li>□ Cohesive paragraphs that effectively develop the central argument/ideas</li> <li>□ Smooth transitions between ideas at sentence and paragraph level</li> <li>□ Introduction and conclusion are fully developed, engaging, and thoughtful</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintains focus with minor logical lapses or unevenness in development of thesis/ideas</li> <li>Generally unified paragraphs with occasional gaps in cohesion</li> <li>Some weak or rough transitions at sentence and/or paragraph level</li> <li>Introduction and conclusion are adequately developed and effective</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Focus shifts or is lacking altogether; weak or illogical development of idea/argument</li> <li>Disorganized paragraph structure, internal contradictions</li> <li>Lack of effective transitions at paragraph and/or paragraph level</li> <li>Truncated or absent introduction; redundant or absent conclusion</li> </ul>
Evidence And Documentation	<ul> <li>□ Sources are used with academic integrity</li> <li>□ Thesis and ideas are consistently supported by well-chosen evidence</li> <li>□ Evidence is smoothly integrated into discussion</li> <li>□ Evidence is credible, accurate and presented with an appropriate level of detail</li> <li>□ Consistently and accurately uses discipline-specific citation format</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Sources are used with academic integrity</li> <li>□ Thesis and ideas are generally supported, but there may be some gaps or use of irrelevant evidence</li> <li>□ Evidence may be unevenly integrated into argument</li> <li>□ Evidence is occasionally inaccurate, too general or too specific in detail</li> <li>□ Some errors in use of discipline-specific citation format</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Sources are used with academic integrity</li> <li>□ Evidence is consistently thin, inappropriate, irrelevant, and/or absent</li> <li>□ Evidence is poorly integrated (lacks signal phrases and follow-up) or unconnected to argument</li> <li>□ Evidence is often inaccurate, too general or too specific in detail; there may be lack of or over-reliance on sources</li> <li>□ Many errors in citation format; inappropriate citation format</li> </ul>
Language Use and Conventions	<ul> <li>□ Precise word choice, appropriate to audience and purpose</li> <li>□ Complex, varied sentences that convey meaning with clarity and fluency</li> <li>□ Closely adheres to rules of Standard Written English (grammar, punctuation, spelling)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occasionally inaccurate, repetitive or imprecise word choice</li> <li>Sentences convey meaning clearly but may lack complexity, fluency or variety</li> <li>Mostly adheres to rules of Standard Written English but may include some minor errors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Often simplistic, inexact or inappropriate word choice</li> <li>□ Meaning is frequently impeded due to awkward or non-idiomatic sentences</li> <li>□ Frequent errors in Standard Written English that often impede meaning</li> </ul>

Note: "Competent" defines the level of writing ability that all UW-Whitewater students should achieve by graduation.